



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DWM Nov-06

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON DC 20005

COPY MAILED

NOV 13 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Fujii et al. : DECISION ON PETITION TO
Application No. 09/583,882 : WITHDRAW HOLDING OF
Filed: 31 May, 2000 : ABANDONMENT
Attorney Docket No. 1460.1006 :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 5 September, 2006, to withdraw the holding of abandonment in the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application was held abandoned for failure to timely respond to the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due mailed on non-final Office action mailed on 21 March, 2006, which set a three (3) month statutory period for reply. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 3 August, 2006.

Petitioners assert that the Notice mailed on 21 March, 2006, was never received.

In the absence of any irregularity in the mailing of the non-final Office action, there is a strong presumption that the non-final Office action was properly mailed to practitioner at the address of record. This presumption may be overcome by a showing that the non-final Office action was not in fact received. The showing required to establish the failure to receive an Office communication must include a statement from the practitioner stating that the Office communication was not received by the practitioner and attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office action was not received. A copy of the docket record where the non-received Office action would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement. See "Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When

Office Actions Are Not Received" 1156 Official Gazette 53 (November 16, 1993) and M.P.E.P. § 711.03(c). The showing outlined above may not be sufficient if there are circumstances that point to a conclusion that the non-final Office action may have been lost after receipt rather than a conclusion that the non-final Office action was lost in the mail.

A review of the record indicates that the Office communication was properly mailed to petitioner at the correspondence address of record at the time of mailing. Thus, there was no irregularity in mailing the Office communication on the part of the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

In support of the petition, the petitioner's counsel, registered patent attorney Temnit Afework, attests that a search of the file and docket records indicate that the Notice of Allowance was not received. A copy of the docket record where the non-receipt Notice of Allowance would have been entered, and thereby docketed for response, is attached to the petition.

The petitioner has made a sufficient showing of nonreceipt of the Office action. Accordingly, the holding of abandonment is withdrawn.

The petition is GRANTED.

The application file is being referred to the Technology Center's Technical Support Staff for remailing of the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due. The period for reply will be reset from the mailing date thereof.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3231.



Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions